

# Measure theory and stochastic processes

## TA Session Problems No. 2

Agnieszka Borowska

08.09.2014

Note: this is only a draft of the solutions discussed on Monday and might contain some typos or more or less imprecise statements. If you find some, please let me know.

Note: the solution to Ex. 5 (additional) has been corrected!

### Ex. 1.8 (Shreve)

*(Moment-generating function).* Let  $X$  be a nonnegative random variable, and assume that

$$\varphi(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{tX}$$

is finite for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume further that  $\mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}] < \infty$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The purpose of this exercise is to show that  $\varphi'(t) = \mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}]$  and, in particular,  $\varphi'(0) = \mathbb{E}X$ .

We recall the definition of derivative:

$$\varphi'(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)}{t - s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\mathbb{E}e^{tX} - \mathbb{E}e^{sX}}{t - s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{e^{tX} - e^{sX}}{t - s} \right].$$

The limit above is taken over a continuous variable  $s$ , but we can choose a sequence of numbers  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converging to  $t$  and compute

$$\lim_{s_n \rightarrow t} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{e^{tX} - e^{s_n X}}{t - s_n} \right],$$

where now we are taking a limit of the expectations of the sequence of random variables

$$Y_n = \frac{e^{tX} - e^{s_n X}}{t - s_n}.$$

If this limit turns out to be the same, regardless of how we choose the sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  that converges to  $t$ , then this limit is also the same as  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{e^{tX} - e^{sX}}{t - s} \right]$  and is  $\varphi'(t)$ .

The Mean Value Theorem from calculus states that if  $f(t)$  is a differentiable function, then for any two numbers  $s$  and  $t$ , there is a number  $\theta$  between  $s$  and  $t$  such that

$$f(t) - f(s) = f'(\theta)(t - s).$$

If we fix  $\omega \in \Omega$  and define  $f(t) = e^{tX(\omega)}$ , then this becomes

$$e^{tX(\omega)} - e^{sX(\omega)} = (t - s)X(\omega)e^{\theta(\omega)X(\omega)}, \tag{1.9.1}$$

where  $\theta(\omega)$  is a number depending on  $\omega$  (i.e., a random variable lying between  $t$  and  $s$ ).

(i) Use the Dominated Convergence Theorem (Theorem 1.4.9) and equation (1.9.1) to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}Y_n = \mathbb{E} \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n \right] = \mathbb{E} [Xe^{tX}].$$

This establishes the desired formula  $\varphi'(t) = \mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}]$ .

First, recall the **Dominated Convergence Theorem**.

**Thm. 1.4.9.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables converging almost surely to a random variable  $X$ . If there is another random variable  $Y$  such that  $\mathbb{E}Y < \infty$  and  $|X_n| \leq Y$  almost surely for every  $n$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}X_n = \mathbb{E}X.$$

Now, from (1.9.1) and the definition of  $Y_n$  we have

$$|Y_n| = \left| \frac{e^{tX} - e^{s_n X}}{t - s_n} \right| = |Xe^{\theta_n X}| \stackrel{(*)}{=} Xe^{\theta_n X} \leq Xe^{2|t|X}. \quad (1)$$

where  $(*)$  is because of nonnegativity of  $X$ . The last inequality comes from the fact that  $\theta_n \in [s_n, t]$  (or  $\theta_n \in [t, s_n]$ ) and for  $n$  large enough  $\theta_n \leq \max\{t, s_n\} \leq 2|t|$  because we took  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $s_n \rightarrow t$ .

As we assumed that  $\mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}] < \infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to the sequence of  $Y_n$ , dominated by (integrable)  $Xe^{2|t|X}$ , to obtain

$$\varphi'(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}Y_n = \mathbb{E} \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n \right] = \mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}],$$

which completes the proof.

(ii) Suppose the random variable  $X$  can take both positive and negative values and  $\mathbb{E}e^{tX} < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{tX}] < \infty$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that once again  $\varphi'(t) = \mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}]$ . (Hint: Use the notation (1.3.1) to write  $X = X^+ - X^-$ .)

Recall the notation 1.3.1. defining the **positive and negative parts of  $X$** :

$$X^+(\omega) = \max\{X(\omega), 0\}, \quad X^-(\omega) = \max\{-X(\omega), 0\}. \quad (1.3.1)$$

If  $X$  can take both positive and negative values, then, as indicated in the task, write  $X = X^+ - X^-$ , so  $X$  becomes a difference of two *positive* random variables.

Then, similarly as in (i), by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $\theta_n \in [s_n, t]$  (or  $\theta_n \in [t, s_n]$ ) such that

$$Y_n = Xe^{\theta_n X}$$

and for sufficiently large  $n$

$$|Y_n| = |Xe^{\theta_n X}| \leq |X|e^{\theta_n X} \leq |X|e^{2|t|X}.$$

So, to apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we need to show that  $\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{t|X|}] < \infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{t|X|}] = \mathbb{E}[X^+e^{tX^+}\mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 0\}}] + \mathbb{E}[X^-e^{tX^-}\mathbb{I}_{\{X < 0\}}]. \quad (2)$$

Since we assumed  $\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{tX}] < \infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , so

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{tX}] = \mathbb{E}[X^+e^{tX^+}\mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 0\}}] + \mathbb{E}[X^-e^{-tX^-}\mathbb{I}_{\{X < 0\}}] < \infty,$$

which implies that  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^+e^{tX^+}\mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 0\}}] &< \infty, \\ \mathbb{E}[X^-e^{-tX^-}\mathbb{I}_{\{X < 0\}}] &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Or, equivalently<sup>1</sup>,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^+e^{tX^+}\mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 0\}}] &< \infty, \\ \mathbb{E}[X^-e^{tX^-}\mathbb{I}_{\{X < 0\}}] &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, going back to (2) we can state that  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{t|X|}] = \mathbb{E}[X^+e^{tX^+}\mathbb{I}_{\{X \geq 0\}}] + \mathbb{E}[X^-e^{tX^-}\mathbb{I}_{\{X < 0\}}] < \infty.$$

Then also  $\mathbb{E}[|X|e^{t|X|}] < \infty, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we can finally apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to obtain that

$$\varphi'(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}Y_n = \mathbb{E} \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n \right] = \mathbb{E}[Xe^{tX}].$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Indeed, because it has to hold for any  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , so for both positive and negative values of  $t$ .

## Ex. 1.15 (Shreve)

Let  $X$  be a random variable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and assume  $X$  has a density function  $f(x)$  that is positive for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g$  be a strictly increasing, differentiable function satisfying

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} g(y) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} g(y) = \infty,$$

and define the random variable  $Y = g(X)$ .

Let  $h(y)$  be an arbitrary nonnegative function satisfying  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y)dy = 1$ . We want to change the probability measure so that  $h(y)$  is the density function for the random variable  $Y$ . To do this, we define

$$Z = \frac{h(g(X))g'(X)}{f(X)}. \quad (3)$$

Now define  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

(i) Show that  $Z$  is nonnegative and  $\mathbb{E}Z = 1$ .

We assumed that the density  $f$  is positive  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h$  is nonnegative, and  $g$  is strictly increasing, so its derivative  $g'$  is positive. Hence, by its definition (3), clearly,  $Z \geq 0$ .

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}Z &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{h(g(X))g'(X)}{f(X)} \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h(g(x))g'(x)}{f(x)} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(g(x))g'(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(u) du \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

by the assumption that  $h$  integrates to 1.

(ii) Show that  $Y$  has density  $h$  under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ .

We defined  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  as

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

First, let us check what the cumulative distribution function of  $Y$  under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  looks like, since if  $Y$  has density  $h$  under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(Y \leq a) &= \int_{\{g(X) \leq a\}} \frac{h(g(X))g'(X)}{f(X)} d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{g^{-1}(a)} \frac{h(g(x))g'(x)}{f(x)} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{g^{-1}(a)} h(g(x))g'(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{g^{-1}(a)} h(g(x)) dg(x) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^a h(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step comes from the change of variable formula, the last. Hence, under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  the random variable  $Y = g(X)$  has density  $h$ .

## Ex. 7 (additional)

Let  $X$  be a nonnegative random variable. Show that  $\int X d\mathbb{P} \geq \frac{\mathbb{P}(X > 1/n)}{n}$ . Assume further that  $\int X d\mathbb{P} = 0$ . Show that it follows that  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1$ .

**Lemma: (Markov's inequality)** If  $X$  is a nonnegative integrable random variable and  $a > 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}X \geq a\mathbb{P}(\{X \geq a\}).$$

*Proof.* For any event  $A$  consider the indicator random variable of this event, i.e.

$$\mathbb{I}_A = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ occurs,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}} = 1$  if the event  $\{X > a\}$  occurs and  $\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}} = 0$  if  $\{X \leq a\}$ . So, for  $a > 0$  we have

$$X \geq a\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}}, \quad (4)$$

since our indicator random variable can attain only two values: if the event  $\{X > a\}$  occurs, then  $\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}} = 1$  and so

$$X > a = a\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}};$$

if the event  $\{X \leq a\}$  occurs, then  $\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}} = 0$ , hence

$$X > 0 = a\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}}.$$

Next, take the expectation on both sides of (4), which cannot reverse the inequality as the expectation is a monotonically increasing function. We have

$$\mathbb{E}X \geq \mathbb{E}[a\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}}]. \quad (5)$$

Next, due to linearity of expectation, we can rewrite the RHS in (5) as follows

$$\mathbb{E}[a\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}}] = a\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{\{X > a\}}] = a[1 \cdot \mathbb{P}(\{X > a\}) + 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}(\{X \leq a\})] = a\mathbb{P}(\{X > a\}).$$

Finally, we have

$$\mathbb{E}X \geq a\mathbb{P}(\{X > a\}),$$

which completes the proof. □

To show the first part of the question notice that  $\int X d\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{E}X$ , so that it suffices to use Markov's inequality with  $a = \frac{1}{n}$ .

In the second part of the question we need to show that if the integral of a nonnegative function is equal to zero, then this function is zero almost everywhere. In probabilistic parlance, if a nonnegative random variable has zero expected value, then it has to be equal to zero almost surely.

To show this, consider the set  $A = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) > 0\}$ . It is equal to the union of an increasing sequence of sets  $A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) > 1/n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , i.e.

$$A = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) > 1/n\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{P}(A_n) \leq \int_{A_n} X d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_A X d\mathbb{P} = 0,$$

from which follows that  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = 0$ . Next, due to the monotone continuity of probability<sup>2</sup>, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

<sup>2</sup>Recall: if  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence of sets, i.e.  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset \dots$ , such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n)$  (similarly for a decreasing sequence of sets).

## Ex. 5 (additional)<sup>34</sup>

Consider the setting of Theorem 1.6.1. Show that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  are equivalent iff  $\mathbb{P}(Z > 0) = 1$ .

First, recall theorem 1.6.1.

**Thm. 1.6.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and let  $Z$  be an almost surely nonnegative random variable with  $\mathbb{E}Z = 1$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , define

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \quad (1.6.3)$$

Then  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  is a probability measure. Furthermore, if  $X$  is a nonnegative random variable, then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}X = \mathbb{E}[XZ].$$

If  $Z$  is almost surely strictly positive, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}Y = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{Y}{Z} \right] \quad (1.6.5)$$

for every nonnegative random variable  $Y$ .

Next, recall the definition of **equivalence of probabilistic measures**.

**Def. 1.6.3.** Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets  $\Omega$ . Two probability measures  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  are said to be equivalent, denoted  $\mathbb{P} \sim \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , if they agree which sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  have probability zero.

In other words,

$$\mathbb{P} \sim \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \iff \left( \mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \iff \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = 0, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \right).$$

*Proof.* (of the statement in the exercise)

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $\mathbb{P}(Z > 0) = 1$ .

First, let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  be such that  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ . Then the random variable  $\mathbb{I}_A Z$  is almost surely zero under  $\mathbb{P}$ , hence, by the definition of  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  given by (1.6.3) we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{I}_A(\omega) Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = 0.$$

Next, let  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfy  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(B) = 0$ . Since we assume  $\mathbb{P}(Z > 0) = 1$ , so  $\mathbb{P}(Z = 0) = 0$ , and then, by (1.6.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(Z = 0) &= \int_{\{Z=0\}} Z d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{I}_{\{Z=0\}} Z d\mathbb{P} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, also under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  the random variable  $Z$  is almost surely positive and we can divide by  $Z$  under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Then the random variable  $\frac{1}{Z} \mathbb{I}_B = 0$  almost surely under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  and by (1.6.5) we have

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}_B = \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{1}{Z} \mathbb{I}_B \right] = 0,$$

so

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{E} \mathbb{I}_B = 0.$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  agree which sets have zero probability measure, i.e.  $\mathbb{P} \sim \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Not discussed in the class.

<sup>4</sup>Corrected!

$\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $\mathbb{P} \sim \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , so that  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Consider the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{\omega \in \Omega : Z(\omega) = 0\}, \\ B^C &= \{\omega \in \Omega : Z(\omega) > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that indeed  $\Omega = B \cup B^C$ , because we assumed that  $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq 0) = 1$ .

Then, by (1.6.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(B) &= \int_B Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{I}_B Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{I}_{\{\omega \in \Omega : Z(\omega) = 0\}} Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and due to the equivalence of measures  $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z > 0) = \mathbb{P}(B^C) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(B) = 1 - 0 = 1,$$

which completes the proof.

□

Just for completeness - **Radon-Nikodym's theorem**.

**Thm. 1.6.7.** *Let  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  be equivalent probability measures defined on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$ . Then there exists an almost surely positive random variable  $Z$  such that  $\mathbb{E}Z = 1$  and for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega).$$